1	Laurence M. Rosen (SBN 219683)		
2	THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A. 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071		
4	Telephone: (213) 785-2610		
5	Facsimile: (213) 226-4684 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com		
6			
7	Counsel for Plaintiff		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DANIEL GLAAB, Individually and on	No.	
11	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,		
12		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE	
13	Plaintiff,	FEDERAL SECURITIES	
14		LAWS	
15	V.	CLASS ACTION	
16	MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL,	-	
	INC., W. ALEXANDER HOLMES, and LAWRENCE ANGELILLI,	(DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)	
17	and LAWRENCE ANGELIELI,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	, in the second of the second	individually and on behalf of all other	
21	persons similarly situated, by Plaintiff's undersigned attorneys, alleges the		
22	following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's own acts		
23	and upon information and belief as to all other matters based on the investigation		
24	conducted by and through Plaintiff's attorn	eys, which included, among other things	
25	a review of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings by		
26	MoneyGram International, Inc. ("MoneyGram" or the "Company"), as well a		
27	media and analyst reports about the Compa	any and Company press releases Plaintiff	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

set forth herein.

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

believes that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this securities class action on behalf of persons who purchased the securities of MoneyGram between June 17, 2019 and February 22, 2021, inclusive (the "Class Period"). Plaintiff seeks to recover compensable damages caused by Defendants' violations of the federal securities laws under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa).
- This Court has jurisdiction over each defendant named herein because 4. each defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with this judicial district so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)) as the alleged misstatements entered and the subsequent damages took place in this judicial district.
- 6. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mails, interstate telephone communications and the facilities of a national securities exchange. Defendants disseminated the statements alleged to be false and

1	misleading herein into this district, and Defendants solicited purchasers of	
2	MoneyGram securities in this district.	
3	<u>PARTIES</u>	
4	7. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying Certification, purchased the	
5	Company's securities at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was	
6	damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosure.	
7	8. Defendant MoneyGram, together with its subsidiaries, is a money	
8	transfer company that allows customers to send money around the globe.	
9	MoneyGram is incorporated in Delaware and maintains its principal executive	
10	offices at 2828 N. Harwood St., 15th Floor, Dallas, Texas. The Company's shares	
11	are listed on NASDAQ under the ticker symbol "MGI."	
12	9. Defendant W. Alexander Holmes ("Holmes") served as the Chief	
13	Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chairman of the Board of the Company throughout	
14	the Class Period.	
15	10. Defendant Lawrence Angelilli ("Angelilli") served as the Chief	
16	Financial Officer ("CFO") of the Company throughout the Class Period.	
17	11. Defendants Holmes and Angelilli are collectively referred to herein as	
18	the "Individual Defendants."	
19	12. Each of the Individual Defendants:	
20	(a) directly participated in the management of the Company;	
21	(b) was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the	
22	Company at the highest levels;	
23	(c) was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning	
24	the Company and its business and operations;	
25	(d) was directly or indirectly involved in drafting, producing,	
26	reviewing and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements	
27		
28	and information alleged herein;	

MoneyGram to utilize Ripple's xRapid product (XRP) in foreign exchange settlement as part of the MoneyGram's cross-border payment process. The partnership supports the companies' shared goal of improving the settlement of cross-border payments by increasing efficiency and reducing cost through integration of the XRP platform.

• • •

"I'm extremely excited about Ripple's investment in MoneyGram and the related strategic partnership," said Alex Holmes, MoneyGram Chairman and CEO. "As the payments industry evolves, we are focused on continuing to improve our platform and utilizing the best technology as part of our overall settlement process," said Mr. Holmes. "Through our partnership with Ripple, we will also have the opportunity to further enhance our operations and streamline our global liquidity management. Since our initial partnership announced in January 2018, we have gotten to know Ripple and are looking forward to further leveraging the strengths of both of our businesses."

- 18. Also on June 17, 2019, MoneyGram filed with the SEC a Form 8-K. The Form 8-K attached a Securities Purchase Agreement by which Ripple agreed to invest up to \$50 million in MoneyGram stock as part of the strategic agreement between the two companies.
- 19. On this news, the price of Money Gram stock jumped from a closing price of \$1.45 on June 17, 2020, to open at \$3.66 on June 18, 2020, an increase of over 150%.
- 20. On August 2, 2019, Money Gram filed its quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC for the quarter ended June 30, 2019 (the "2Q19 10-Q"). Attached to the 2Q19 10-Q were certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2000 (SOX) signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements and the disclosure of all fraud.
  - 21. The 2Q19 10-Q stated:

Additionally, on June 17, 2019, we announced a commercial agreement with Ripple. The commercial agreement allows Money Gram to utilize Ripple's xRapid blockchain product, as well as XRP, Ripple's cryptocurrency, to facilitate cross-border settlement. The Company expects that this will reduce working capital needs and have the potential to generate additional earnings and cash flow.

- 22. On November 6, 2019, Money Gram filed its quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 (the "3Q19 10-Q"). Attached to the 3Q19 10-Q were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements and the disclosure of all fraud.
  - 23. The 3Q19 10-Q stated further:

On June 17, 2019, we announced a commercial agreement with Ripple. The commercial agreement allows Money Gram to utilize Ripple's On Demand Liquidity blockchain product (formerly known as xRapid), as well as XRP, Ripple's cryptocurrency, to facilitate cross-border foreign currency exchange settlement. The Company is compensated by Ripple for developing and bringing liquidity to foreign exchange markets, facilitated by Ripple's blockchain, and providing a reliable level of foreign exchange trading activity. The Company expects that this partnership, at scale, will reduce our working capital needs and generate additional earnings and cash flows.

24. On February 28, 2020, MoneyGram filed its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019 (the "2019 Annual Report"), which was signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli. Attached to the 2019 Annual Report were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of financial reporting, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure of all fraud.

25. The 2019 Annual Report stated the following about Ripple, identifying the strong contribution that market development fees received from Ripple made to MoneyGram's financial results:

Market Development Fees — Market development fees are fees paid by Ripple Labs Inc. ("Ripple") to the Company for developing and bringing liquidity to foreign exchange markets, facilitated by Ripple's On Demand Liquidity ("ODL") platform, and providing a reliable level of foreign exchange trading activity. The liquidity services provided by the Company are not considered distinct under ASC Topic 606, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," and consequently Money Gram will recognize fees received for market development services as vendor consideration in accordance with ASC Topic 705, "Cost of Sales and Services." The fees will be presented as a contra expense to offset costs incurred to Ripple and are recorded as incurred in "Transaction and operations support" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Per the terms of the commercial agreement, the Company does not pay fees to Ripple for its usage of the ODL platform and there are no claw back or refund provisions.

For the year ended December 31, 2019, market development fees were \$11.3 million. Additionally, as of December 31, 2019, the Company had a receivable from Ripple for market development fees of \$0.9 million.

(Emphasis added).

- 26. On May 1, 2020, MoneyGram filed its quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC for the quarter ended March 31, 2020 (the "1Q20 10-Q"). Attached to the 1Q20 10-Q were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements and the disclosure of all fraud.
  - 27. The 1Q20 10-Q stated:

For the three months ended March 31, 2020, the Company received a net benefit of \$12.1 million composed of \$16.6 million of Ripple

market development fees, which were partially offset by related transaction and trading expenses of \$4.5 million, both of which were included in "Transaction and operations support" line on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

28. On July 31, 2020, MoneyGram filed its quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC for the quarter ended June 30, 2020 (the "2Q20 10-Q"). Attached to the 2Q20 10-Q were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements and the disclosure of all fraud.

#### 29. The 2Q20 10-Q stated:

For the three months ended June 30, 2020, the Company received a net benefit of \$8.8 million composed of \$15.1 million of Ripple market development fees, which were partially offset by related transaction and trading expenses of \$6.3 million, both of which were included in the "Transaction and operations support" line on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2020, the Company received a net benefit of \$20.9 million composed of \$31.7 million of Ripple market development fees, which were partially offset by related transaction and trading expenses of \$10.8 million.

30. On October 30, 2020, MoneyGram filed its quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC for the quarter ended September 30, 2020 (the "3Q20 10-Q"). Attached to the 3Q20 10-Q were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements and the disclosure of all fraud.

#### 31. The 3Q20 10-Q stated:

For the three months ended September 30, 2020, the Company received a net benefit of \$8.9 million composed of \$9.3 million of Ripple market development fees, which were partially offset by related transaction and trading expenses of \$0.4 million, both of which were included in the

"Transaction and operations support" line on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2020, the Company received a net benefit of \$29.8 million composed of \$41.0 million of Ripple market development fees, which were partially offset by related transaction and trading expenses of \$11.2 million.

32. The statements referenced in ¶¶16-31 above were materially false and/or misleading because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the following adverse facts pertaining to the Company's business, operational and financial results, which were known to Defendants or recklessly disregarded by them. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) XRP, the cryptocurrency that MoneyGram was utilizing as part of its Ripple partnership, was viewed as an unregistered and therefore unlawful security by the SEC; (2) in the event that the SEC decided to enforce the securities laws against Ripple, MoneyGram would be likely to lose the lucrative stream of market development fees that was critical to its financial results throughout the Class Period; and (3) as a result, Defendants' public statements were materially false and/or misleading at all relevant times.

#### THE TRUTH EMERGES

- 38. On December 22, 2020, the SEC filed a lawsuit against Ripple, alleging that Ripple's cryptocurrency XRP is an unregistered security in violation of the securities laws.
- 39. The SEC alleges a brazen scheme in which Ripple received legal advice as early as 2012 that XRP could be considered an investment contract and therefore a security that needs to be registered under the securities laws. Nevertheless, Ripple decided to ignore this advice and assume the risk of initiating a large-scale distribution of XRP without registration.
- 40. Relying on Ripple's own statements, the SEC points out that Ripple's stated business plan has been to sell XRP to as many speculative investors as

27

28

possible, and any non-speculative or non-investment use of the cryptocurrency represents a very small and inconsequential piece of the enterprise.

- In fact, the SEC alleges specifically that the major non-investment use of the XRP cryptocurrency—transferring money on Ripple's ODL platform—is not market-driven, but subsidized by Ripple itself.
- In order to convince anyone to use ODL to transfer money, the SEC alleges, Ripple had to make a \$50 million equity investment and pay significant financial compensation to an entity that the SEC's Complaint refers to only as the "Money Transmitter." Of course, the "Money Transmitter" is Money Gram.
- In addition, the SEC's Complaint describes how MoneyGram itself took part in the sale of unregistered XRP securities on the open market:
  - 341. Specifically, from 2019 through June 2020, Ripple paid the Money Transmitter 200 million XRP, which the Money Transmitter immediately monetized by selling XRP into the public market, typically on the very days it received XRP from Ripple. The Money Transmitter publicly disclosed earning over \$52 million in fees and incentives from
  - 342. The Money Transmitter became yet another conduit for Ripple's unregistered XRP sales into the market, with Ripple receiving the added benefit that it could tout its inorganic XRP "use" and trading volume for XRP. The Money Transmitter has served that principal purpose for Ripple in exchange for significant financial compensation.
- On December 23, 2020, Money Gram issued a press release entitled: "MoneyGram Statement on the SEC Action Against Ripple." The press release

The Company has not currently been notified or been made aware of any negative impact to its commercial agreement with Ripple but will continue to monitor for any potential impact as developments in the lawsuit evolve. MoneyGram has had a commercial agreement with Ripple since June 2019; this agreement represents the use of Ripple's

foreign exchange (FX) blockchain trading platform (ODL) for the purchase or sale of four currencies. MoneyGram has continued to utilize its other traditional FX trading counterparties throughout the term of the agreement with Ripple, and is not dependent on the Ripple platform to accomplish its FX trading needs.

As a reminder, MoneyGram does not utilize the ODL platform or RippleNet for direct transfers of consumer funds – digital or otherwise. Furthermore, MoneyGram is not a party to the SEC action.

- 45. On February 22, 2021, MoneyGram filed its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2020 (the "2020 Annual Report"), which was signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli. Attached to the 2020 Annual Report were certifications pursuant to SOX signed by Defendants Holmes and Angelilli attesting to the accuracy of financial reporting, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure of all fraud.
- 46. The 2020 Annual Report stated the following about Ripple, in pertinent part:

On December 22, 2020, the SEC filed a lawsuit against Ripple alleging that they raised over \$1.3 billion through an unregistered, ongoing digital asset offering in violation of the registration provisions of the Securities Act of 1933. Subsequently, substantially all of the U.S.-based digital asset exchanges removed XRP from their platforms. MoneyGram ceased transacting with Ripple under the commercial agreement in early December 2020 and has not since resumed trading. It is possible that MoneyGram will not resume transacting with Ripple under the commercial agreement and will be unable to receive the related market development fees in 2021 and beyond. Per the terms of the commercial agreement, the Company does not pay fees to Ripple for its usage of the ODL platform or the related software and there are no clawback or refund provisions.

The "Transaction and operations support" line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations includes market development fees of

1	\$50.2 million and \$11.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019, respectively.	
2		
3	(Emphasis added).	
4	47. Also on February 22, 2021, MoneyGram issued a press release on its	
5	financial results for its fourth quarter and full-year ended December 31, 2020. The	
6	press release stated in pertinent part:	
7		
8	First Quarter 2021 Outlook  Assuming the global economic environment were to remain consistent with the fourth quarter the Company is providing the following outlook:	
9		
10	For the first quarter of 2021, the Company anticipates reporting total	
11	revenue of approximately \$300 million on the strength of its money	
12	transfer business and continued triple-digit cross-border Money Gram	
13	Online growth, partially offset by an estimated \$8 million reduction in gross investment revenue.	
14		
15	In addition, the Company is not planning for any benefit from Ripple market development fees in the first quarter. Due to the uncertainty concerning their ongoing litigation with the SEC, the Company has suspended trading on Ripple's platform. In the first quarter of 2020, the Company realized a net expense benefit of \$12.1 million from Ripple market development fees.	
16		
17		
18		
19	Based on the combination of these factors, the Company anticipates	
20	reporting Adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$50 million in the first	
21	quarter of 2021.	
22	(Emphasis added.)	
23		
24	48. On this news, Money Gram securities fell 33.2%, from a closing price	
25	on February 19, 2021 of \$10.87, to a closing price on February 23, 2020 of \$7.26,	
26	damaging investors.	
27		
28		

# p

### 

# 

## 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

49. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

#### PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 44. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class consisting of all persons other than Defendants who purchased publicly traded MoneyGram securities on the NASDAQ during the Class Period, and who were damaged thereby (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of MoneyGram and its subsidiaries, members of the Individual Defendants' immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.
- 45. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Money Gram securities were actively traded on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds, if not thousands of members in the proposed Class.
- 46. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.
- 47. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.
- 48. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- a) whether the Exchange Act was violated by Defendants' acts as alleged herein;
- b) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the financial condition and business of the Company;
- c) whether Defendants' public statements to the investing public during the Class Period omitted material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;
- d) whether the Defendants caused the Company to issue false and misleading filings during the Class Period;
- e) whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false filings;
- f) whether the prices of MoneyGram securities during the Class Period were artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- g) whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the proper measure of damages.
- 49. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
- 50. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:
  - a) MoneyGram shares met the requirements for listing, and were listed and actively traded on the NASDAQ, an efficient market;

- b) As a public issuer, the Company filed periodic public reports;
- c) MoneyGram regularly communicated with public investors via established market communication mechanisms, including through the regular dissemination of press releases via major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services;
- d) MoneyGram's securities were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume during the Class Period; and
- e) The Company was followed by a number of securities analysts employed by major brokerage firms who wrote reports that were widely distributed and publicly available.
- 51. Based on the foregoing, the market for MoneyGram securities promptly digested current information regarding the Company from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in the prices of the securities, and Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.
- 52. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens* of the State of Utah v. United States, 406 U.S. 128 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information as detailed above.

#### <u>COUNT I</u>

### For Violations of Section 10(b) And Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants

53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

- 54. This Count is asserted against Defendants based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.
- 55. During the Class Period, Defendants, individually and in concert, directly or indirectly, disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 56. Defendants violated §10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they:
  - employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;
  - made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
  - engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection with their purchases of MoneyGram securities during the Class Period.
- 57. Defendants acted with scienter in that they knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated, or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the securities laws. These Defendants by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts of the Company, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of MoneyGram's allegedly materially

4

11

9

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

23

22

25

24

26 27 28 misleading statements, and/or their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

- Individual Defendants, who are or were the senior officers and/or 58. directors of the Company, had actual knowledge of the material omissions and/or the falsity of the material statements set forth above, and intended to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, acted with reckless disregard for the truth when they failed to ascertain and disclose the true facts in the statements made by them or other MoneyGram personnel to members of the investing public, including Plaintiff and the Class.
- As a result of the foregoing, the market price of Money Gram securities 59. was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the falsity of Defendants' statements, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class relied on the statements described above and/or the integrity of the market price of MoneyGram securities during the Class Period in purchasing MoneyGram securities at prices that were artificially inflated as a result of Defendants' false and misleading statements.
- 60. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class been aware that the market price of MoneyGram's securities had been artificially and falsely inflated by Defendants' misleading statements and by the material adverse information which Defendants did not disclose, they would not have purchased MoneyGram's securities at the artificially inflated prices that they did, or at all.
- As a result of the wrongful conduct alleged herein, Plaintiff and other 61. members of the Class have suffered damages in an amount to be established at trial.
- By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated Section 10(b) of 62. the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and are liable to the Plaintiff and the other members of the Class for substantial damages which they suffered in connection with their purchase of Money Gram's securities during the Class Period.

### 

# 

### 

# 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

### 

# 

# 

### 

#### **COUNT II**

#### Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants

- 63. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and management of the Company, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of MoneyGram's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information about the Company's false financial statements.
- 65. As officers of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to MoneyGram's financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by the Company which had become materially false or misleading.
- 66. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and public filings which MoneyGram disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period concerning the Company's results of operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause the Company to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants, therefore, were "controlling persons" of the Company within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of MoneyGram securities.
- 67. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by the Company.

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, prays for	
3	judgment and relief as follows:	
4	(a) declaring this action to be a proper class action, designating Plaintit	
5	as Lead Plaintiff and certifying Plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 o	
6	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and designating Plaintiff's counsel as Lead	
7	Counsel;	
8	(b) awarding damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other Class member	
9	against all Defendants, jointly and severally, together with interest thereon;	
10	(c) awarding Plaintiff and the Class reasonable costs and expense	
11	incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and	
12	(d) awarding Plaintiff and other members of the Class such other and	
13	further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
14	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
15	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.	
16		
17	Dated: March 1, 2021  THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.	
18	/s/Laurence M. Rosen	
19	Laurence M. Rosen (SBN 219683)	
20	355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450 Los Angeles, CA 90071	
21	Telephone: (213) 785-2610	
	Facsimile: (213) 226-4684	
22	Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com	
23	Counsel for Plaintiff	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	18	